ISSN: 2583-5637
International journal of Inventive Research in Science and Technology
Volume 5 Issue 5 May 2026

A Comprehensive Review of DC Fast Charging Infrastructure for Electric Vehicles

Shubham Bharti[1], Malaya Saurava Dash[2], Devendra Sharma[3]
Shubham9685404032@gmail.com[1], malaya_rec@rediffmail.com[2] ,devendrasharma798@gmail.com[3],

[1]MTech Scholar, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

[2]Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

[3] Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

Abstract

The rapid adoption of electric vehicles (EVs) necessitates the development of efficient DC fast charging infrastructure to address range anxiety and accelerate sustainable transportation. This paper provides a comprehensive review of DC fast charging stations, examining international standards (CCS, CHAdeMO, GB/T, ChaoJi, and Megawatt Charging System), 400 V versus 800 V EV powertrain architectures, and their compatibility challenges. Power converter topologies are analyzed, including AC-DC converters, isolated DC-DC converters (phase-shift full-bridge, dual active bridge, and resonant converters), and emerging solutions such as partial power converters and reconfigurable topologies capable of operating across 200–1000 V output ranges. Architectural considerations for DC-connected charging stations are discussed, encompassing radial and ring configurations, unipolar and bipolar voltage architectures, battery energy storage integration, and traction grid connectivity, along with safety and isolation requirements per IEC 61851-23. Energy management strategies are classified into optimization-based approaches (mixed-integer linear programming, model predictive control, and genetic algorithms) and rule-based approaches (state-machine and fuzzy logic), evaluated against objectives including cost minimization, quality of service, and demand response. Cybersecurity threats are addressed, covering tampering, man-in-the-middle, denial-of-service, and false data injection attacks, alongside mitigation strategies. This integrated review highlights current challenges, emerging trends, and future research directions essential for enabling sustainable EV charging infrastructure.

Keywords: Electric vehicles, DC fast charging stations, power converters, energy management, cybersecurity, charging standards

I. Introduction

The global transition toward sustainable transportation has accelerated dramatically, with electric vehicles (EVs) emerging as a cornerstone of decarbonization efforts. EV registrations have increased substantially year over year, particularly in China, Europe, and the United States . However, widespread EV adoption faces a persistent barrier: range anxiety—the fear that a vehicle's battery will deplete before reaching a destination or charging station. While battery technology improvements continue to address this concern, the development of a robust fast-charging infrastructure remains equally critical.

DC fast charging stations represent the most promising solution to this challenge, offering charging speeds comparable to traditional refueling times. Tesla Superchargers, for instance, can add up to 300 kilometers of range in just 15 minutes. The distribution of DC fast chargers has grown in parallel with EV registrations, with China leading globally in charger deployment. Despite this progress, significant technical challenges remain, including grid integration, power conversion efficiency, and compatibility between different EV architectures.

This review examines the current state of DC fast charging technology across five key dimensions: international standards, power converter topologies, system architectures, energy management strategies, and cybersecurity considerations. Unlike previous reviews that addressed these topics in isolation, this work provides a holistic perspective that reflects the interconnected nature of modern charging infrastructure.

II. Standards and System Overview

A. Charging Standards

DC fast charging standards have evolved significantly to accommodate increasing power requirements and diverse vehicle types. The dominant standards include CHAdeMO, CCS Type 1 and Type 2, GB/T, and the emerging ChaoJi standard. CCS has gained widespread adoption in Europe and North America, offering up to 350 kW charging power with output voltages from 200 V to 1000 V. Tesla's North American Charging Standard (NACS) has also gained prominence following its opening to other manufacturers in 2022.

For heavy-duty vehicles, the Megawatt Charging System (MCS), proposed by CharIN in 2018, represents a significant advancement. MCS is rated for up to 3.75 MW of charging power with output voltages of 500–1250 V and currents up to 3000 A . This standard addresses the unique requirements of electric trucks and buses, though its grid impact remains a significant concern. The ChaoJi standard, developed through collaboration between CHAdeMO and GB/T, offers up to 900 kW charging power and 1500 V output, aiming to harmonize international approaches.

B. EV Powertrain Architectures: 400 V vs. 800 V

The automotive industry is transitioning from traditional 400 V powertrains to 800 V architectures, driven by several advantages. Higher voltage systems enable reduced charging currents, smaller cable sizes, and decreased vehicle weight. Most importantly, 800 V architectures facilitate increased charging power, making charging duration comparable to internal combustion engine refueling.

However, this transition introduces compatibility challenges. Vehicles with 800 V architectures typically require onboard DC-DC converters to interface with 400 V chargers, potentially reducing charging efficiency and increasing costs. Manufacturers such as Porsche (Taycan), Audi (e-tron GT), Hyundai (IONIQ 5), and Kia (EV6) have adopted 800 V systems, achieving charging rates up to 350 kW. Conversely, Tesla has demonstrated that well-optimized 400 V systems can achieve comparable charging performance, suggesting multiple viable pathways exist.

C. AC-Connected vs. DC-Connected Charging Stations

DC fast charging stations can be classified as AC-connected or DC-connected. AC-connected stations, currently more prevalent, interface with the AC grid through a rectification stage, with each charger requiring its own DC-DC conversion stage. This approach benefits from established protection and metering standards but incurs higher costs and lower efficiency.

DC-connected stations, while less common, offer superior performance. These systems share a common DC bus, requiring only one rectification stage for the entire station, reducing both cost and power conversion losses. The Tritium PKM150 achieves 97% efficiency compared to approximately 94% for comparable AC-connected chargers. However, the adoption of DC-connected stations remains limited by the absence of standardized protection and metering protocols for low-voltage DC networks.

III. Power Converter Technologies

A. AC-DC Converter Topologies

The AC-DC conversion stage is critical for AC-connected charging stations. Three-phase PWM rectifiers provide unity power factor and low total harmonic distortion through active control of switching devices. Neutral-point-clamped (NPC) converters offer advantages including lower harmonic distortion, reduced switching device stress, and capability for bipolar DC architectures.

Vienna rectifiers, a unidirectional topology combining three-phase rectification with boost converters, provide high power density, high efficiency, and very low total harmonic distortion. Their bidirectional variant, the T-type converter, enables vehicle-to-grid (V2G) operations, making it suitable for modern charging applications.

B. Isolated DC-DC Converters

Galvanic isolation is typically required for DC-connected multi-port charging stations per IEC 61851-23 standards. Phase-shift full-bridge (PSFB) converters provide unidirectional power flow with zero-voltage switching capabilities, though efficiency degrades at light loads.

Dual active bridge (DAB) converters offer bidirectional power flow with high power density and soft-switching capabilities. Three-phase DAB variants provide enhanced performance for high-power applications, including lower turn-off peak currents, reduced high-frequency losses, and lower RMS currents in filtering capacitors. However, the more complex structure limits modulation flexibility.

Series resonant converters feature high-frequency transformers with resonant tanks enabling zero-current switching. These topologies offer fault tolerance and are suitable for EV charging applications due to their soft-switching characteristics.

C. Emerging Wide-Voltage-Range Converters

The coexistence of 400 V and 800 V EV architectures has driven development of converters capable of operating across wide output voltage ranges (typically 200–1000 V). Reconfigurable DAB-based converters with series/parallel output bridge configurations have demonstrated efficiency exceeding 98.4% across the full voltage range.

CLLC resonant converters with topology morphing control enable operation in multiple modes, extending voltage range to 200–700 V while maintaining constrained switching frequencies. Three-port resonant and phase-shift converters with reconfigurable output connections have achieved 200–1000 V battery charging voltage ranges.

Partial power converters represent an emerging approach where only a fraction of total power is processed through active conversion. These topologies can achieve efficiencies exceeding 99% by transferring the majority of power directly through a low-loss path. The main limitation is the requirement for close matching between DC bus and battery voltages.

IV. DC-Connected Architecture and Energy Management

A. Architectural Configurations

DC-connected charging stations can be implemented in radial or ring configurations. Radial architectures are simpler and more cost-effective, with all components connected to a common DC bus. However, a fault on the DC bus disconnects all chargers, compromising service continuity. Ring configurations provide redundancy through multiple connection paths, enabling fault isolation and continued operation.

Voltage polarity options include unipolar and bipolar architectures. Unipolar systems are simpler to implement but limited in voltage flexibility. Bipolar architectures, using a neutral point to create multiple voltage levels (e.g., ±800 V), can simultaneously support 400 V and 800 V vehicles as well as electric trucks with higher battery voltages. The primary challenge of bipolar systems is maintaining DC-link capacitor voltage balance.

B. Battery Energy Storage Integration

Battery energy storage systems (BESSs) provide significant benefits for DC fast charging stations. In locations with weak grid infrastructure, such as highways and rural areas, BESSs can avoid costly grid reinforcement by providing peak power during high-demand periods. Operational cost savings are achieved through energy arbitrage—charging batteries during low-price periods and discharging during high-price periods.

BESSs also enhance renewable energy utilization by storing excess generation from photovoltaic panels or wind turbines for later use. A study of BESS sizing for Italian highway charging stations demonstrated optimal sizing using genetic algorithms to minimize both operational costs and non-renewable energy consumption.

C. Energy Management Strategies

Energy management for DC fast charging stations can be classified into optimization-based and rule-based approaches. Global optimization techniques, including genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization, have been applied to minimize costs and reduce grid impact. For example, supervised machine learning using group method of data handling has been used for optimal sizing of renewable sources and BESS.

Mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) approaches have been widely adopted for real-time optimization. A three-layer framework using rolling horizon MILP and convex decreasing horizon model predictive control achieved significant cost reductions while managing BESS degradation. Deterministic rule-based strategies, such as state-machine control, have been successfully demonstrated for peak demand reduction, achieving 45% reduction in instant power demand.

V. Cybersecurity Considerations

A. Threat Landscape

DC fast charging stations incorporate extensive communication infrastructure, making cybersecurity a critical concern. The Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP) has evolved to address security requirements, with version 2.0.1 introducing transport layer security, security logging, event notification, and secure firmware updates. However, earlier versions (1.6 and below) lacked these security features.

Common cyberattacks affecting charging infrastructure include tampering attacks (manipulating charging schedules or physical hardware), man-in-the-middle attacks (intercepting EV-station communication), denial-of-service attacks (preventing legitimate charging requests), false data injection attacks (manipulating smart meter information), and malware attacks.

B. Impact Analysis

Cybersecurity attacks on charging infrastructure can have consequences at both macro and micro levels. Macro-level attacks affect wide areas such as cities or regions, potentially destabilizing power grids through frequency and voltage deviations. The 2015 Ukraine power grid cyberattack demonstrated the vulnerability of critical infrastructure, highlighting the potential for similar attacks through EV charging networks.

Micro-level attacks target individual charging stations and power converters. These attacks are less likely to occur as they require detailed hardware and firmware knowledge, but their consequences can be more severe—including short-circuiting power converters, disabling protection systems, and potentially causing fires or explosions.

Emerging solutions include block chain-based frameworks for secure energy trading, hidden Markov models for attack prediction and mitigation, and deep learning-based intrusion detection systems.

VI. Conclusion

DC fast charging technology is evolving rapidly to meet the demands of an expanding electric vehicle market. Standards such as CCS, NACS, and MCS are shaping the future of charging infrastructure, while the transition to 800 V vehicle architectures creates both opportunities and challenges for power converter design. DC-connected charging stations offer significant efficiency advantages but require standardization of protection and metering protocols to achieve widespread adoption.

Emerging power converter topologies, including reconfigurable and partial power converters, promise improved efficiency and compatibility across diverse vehicle architectures. Energy management strategies increasingly incorporate optimization techniques to reduce costs and grid impact while maximizing renewable energy utilization. Cybersecurity considerations are becoming integral to system design as communication infrastructure becomes more sophisticated.

Future research must address several challenges: developing universal, cost-effective power converters for wide voltage ranges; standardizing protection and metering for DC-connected stations; implementing real-time energy management demonstrations with hardware-in-the-loop testing; and quantifying the macro- and micro-level impacts of cybersecurity attacks. As EV adoption continues to accelerate, the charging infrastructure supporting it must evolve in parallel—integrating advances in power electronics, energy management, and cybersecurity to enable a sustainable electric mobility future

References

[1] International Energy Agency, "Electric car registrations and sales share in China, United States, Europe and other regions, 2016-2021," 2021.

[2] M. Yilmaz and P. T. Krein, "Review of battery charger topologies, charging power levels, and infrastructure for plug-in electric and hybrid vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 2151-2169, May 2013.

[3] S. Rivera, S. Kouro, S. Vazquez, S. M. Goetz, R. Lizana, and E. Romero-Cadaval, "Electric vehicle charging infrastructure: From grid to battery," *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 37-51, Jun. 2021.

[4] H. Tu, H. Feng, S. Srdic, and S. Lukic, "Extreme fast charging of electric vehicles: A technology overview," *IEEE Trans. Transport. Electrific.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 861-878, Dec. 2019.

[5] I. Aghabali, J. Bauman, P. J. Kollmeyer, Y. Wang, B. Bilgin, and A. Emadi, "800-V electric vehicle powertrains: Review and analysis of benefits, challenges, and future trends," *IEEE Trans. Transport. Electrific.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 927-948, Sep. 2021.

[6] M. Safayatullah, M. T. Elrais, S. Ghosh, R. Rezaii, and I. Batarseh, "A comprehensive review of power converter topologies and control methods for electric vehicle fast charging applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 40753-40793, 2022.

[7] B. Zhao, Q. Song, W. Liu, and Y. Sun, "Overview of dual-active-bridge isolated bidirectional DC-DC converter for high-frequency-link power-conversion system," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 4091-4106, Aug. 2014.

[8] S. Rivera et al., "Partial-power converter topology of type II for efficient electric vehicle fast charging," *IEEE Trans. Emerg. Sel. Topics Power Electron.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 7839-7848, Dec. 2022.

[9] I. E. Commission, "IEC 61851-23: Electric vehicle conductive charging system - Part 23: DC electric vehicle charging station," International Electro technical Commission: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.

[10] M. A. H. Rafi and J. Bauman, "A comprehensive review of DC fast-charging stations with energy storage: Architectures, power converters, and analysis," *IEEE Trans. Transport. Electrific.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 345-368, Jun. 2021.